Muhammad Asadi Humanistic Concerns Part 3

> Contrary to what these agenda driven writings present, Islam has the strongest organized social justice component within its system, compared not only to most other religions, but to most secular systems as well. Not only does it suggest a community-style society of humankind by pointing to the natural world as guide (Quran 6:38), with humanity as trustee, not owner of resources (Quran 2:284, 57:7 etc.), it encourages the distribution of the surplus of every individual (Quran 2:219) in the form of a social fund (Quran 9:60) administered by those in charge of governance. It makes the fulfillment of basic necessities of the needy a "religious" duty, without which the ritual of prayer itself is mocked, yes mocked by the Quran itself (Quran 107:1-7). Such "socialistic" emphasis on social justice, organized within a religious system is unheard of in the world of religion, which according to Marx often served as the "opium of the masses".

All one has to do to see the absurdity of this claim is to look at the state of the Muslims all over the world. Although Islamic countries are poor and will remain poor because the “Islamic economy” (that is oxymoronic) is based on the lunacy of an ignoramus and if it wasn’t for the oil, they would be the poorest people of the world, even where they make money by selling oil the social injustice in Islamic countries is the first thing that comes to sight. Now one would think that oil should belong to all the people in the country. No one has done anything to make it. It is just there and they just dig it out. Nonetheless there is no welfare or social safety net for the poor, the handicapped, the orphan or the elderly in any Islamic country. If you are stricken by a disaster, such as an accident and you can’t work, the only way for you to survive is to become a beggar. If your husband divorces you or dies and you are not young and pretty enough to find another husband, pan handling or suicide are your only options. In the old days you could sew to raise your family, like my blessed grandmother did. In these days probably you can work as a maid. If you are poor, sometimes the mosque may give you some handout, but not without a catch. The catch is that you join the Jihad, become an Islamic revolutionary, help them in their political agenda or become a suicide bomber so your family can be supported for a while. That support is not forever. Claiming that Islam has social justice is mockery of intelligence. In the secular societies we have real safety nets and social justice. It provides you enough to live with dignity. If you are young you will be trained to enter workforce and if you are disabled or old, you will be sustained by the welfare. You do not have to become a beggar. Muslims who immigrate to the Western kafirdoms are familiar with this system more than anyone else. They take full advantage of it and even abuse it. Nothing like that exists in any Islamic country. The quranic injunction to give to the poor is nonsense. What poor people need is not the wanton and humiliating handout of the rich but a real welfare system. That is only possible where the economy is robust and can never happen in any Islamic country.

The Muslim Asadi then wrote:

The Quran's emphasis on the sanctity of human life, comparing a single one to all of humanity (Quran 5:32) is also unsurpassed in world literature, and the common bond, the "human consciousness" it intends to create based upon common origin (Quran 4:1) and one creator God, who created all equally according to his nature (Quran 30:30) is equally unsurpassed as humanitarian doctrine and is demonstrated as fact during the Hajj pilgrimage.

Let us talk about the verse 5:32 that Muslims repeat at nauseam to make their murderous prophet look "humanitarian".

This verse is based on the silly tale of the sons of Adams. In the previous verses Muhammad says that one son killed the other and was filled with regret and shame. Then:

> *005.031*
> Then Allah sent a raven, who scratched the ground, to show him how to hide the shame of his brother. "Woe is me!" said he; "Was I not even able to be as this raven, and to hide the shame of my brother?" then he became full of regrets-
> *005.032*
> On that account: We ordained for the Children of Israel that if any one slew a person - unless it be for murder or for spreading mischief in the land - it would be as if he slew the whole people: and if any one saved a life, it would be as if he saved the life of the whole people. Then although there came to them Our messengers with clear signs, yet, even after that, many of them continued to commit excesses in the land.

This is part of the fable that Muhammad is recounting and not part of his teachings. But before I explain this verse, note how this self proclaimed prophet keeps forgetting that the Quran is supposed to be the words of Allah and in the verse 5:31 he refers to Allah in third person, instead of first person.

There are too many of these Freudian slips of the tongue in the Quran to count. Some of them are corrected later by adding the word "*qul*" (say) in the beginning of the verse to give the impression that Allah is ordering Muhammad to say these words. But in other cases adding *qul* was not possible - like in this verse.

Another ridiculous point in this verse is the claim that Allah sent a raven, who scratched the ground, to show this son of Adam how to **hide the shame (nakedness) of his brother.** The Muslim brain is so naive that he does not realize this is ludicrous. This tale is for children. How can grown ups believe in such a gobbledygook? Ravens teachings humans how to bury their dead? Do the ravens bury their own dead?

The major problem with this verse is however, the fact that it makes no sense. It is a silly claptrap and in the absence of any humane verse in the Quran it enthuses the Muslims who desperately try to find something humane in that book of terror and hate. But it is a darn

stupid verse. Killing one person is certainly not like killing two persons and definitely not the same as killing all mankind. What kind of stupid mind could come up with this balderdash?

Nonetheless, the biggest problem with this verse is that Muhammad killed thousands of people and instructed his followers to do the same. The entire Quran is full of exhortations to kill and murder the *mushrikun*. How can we reconcile 5:32 with 8:12, 9:5, 9:14 or 47:4 if indeed it is an injunction for not killing? How can Muslims do Jiahd if they are not supposed to kill and why the Islamic world is so filled with violence and the more religious Muslims become the gorier becomes their acts?

Assuming the verse 5:32 talks about the sanctity of life, then Muhammad can be accused of being a despicable person who despite saying such thing went on massacring thousands of people and slitting the throats of his prisoners, including boys whose only fault was to have pubic hair.

According to Confucius the person whose words and actions do not coincide is the lowest of the creatures.

> All systems have been abused and misused for political/economic motives and Islam is no exception, but condemning it as an inherently anti-human ideology is dishonest and unjust.

Claming that Islam is abused is cop out. All one has to do is read the Quran to see that all the evil perpetrated by Muslims stem from this book of terror. Islam is inherently anti human. Read this sermon: http://www.alminbar.com/khutbaheng/1819.htm Compare it to the hate speeches of Hitler. Hitler comes out like a saint. And every paragraph of this sermon is backed by a verse from the Quran. It is dishonest, hypocritical and shameful to claim that Islam is a humane religion.

> (Often the distorted, reactionary version of Islam has been implanted from "outside" as was the case of the proxy Cold-War that the U.S. was fighting against the Soviets in Afghanistan: here you had the CIA, most of whom were non-believers in Islam, promising the Afghans a sure ticket to heaven if they died fighting the Soviets, Stinger missiles were an added bonus; supporting Zia's "Saudi Islamization" of Pakistan etc.)

Imagine the hypocrisy of this Muslim. He wants us to believe that Osama bin Laden, Mullah Omar and the Taliban were peaceful persons who were mislead by CIA into believing that by killing the Soviets they will go to heaven. What shameless creatures are these Muslims? If the CIA had so much power that could define Islam for Muslims, why they are not making them love and serve America? Indeed when I say Muslims are brain dead, it is no exaggeration. You can hear from them things you can never find in any dollar store.